



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/751,164 | 12/31/2003 | Gary F. Dandreaux | C-477 | 1558 |
| 31013 | 7590 | 07/01/2008 | EXAMINER | |
| KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS & FRANKEL LLP | | | CAIN, EDWARD J | |
| INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT | | | | |
| 1177 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| NEW YORK, NY 10036 | | | 1796 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 07/01/2008 | ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

klpatent@kramerlevin.com

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/751,164 | DANDREAUX ET AL. | |

| | | |
|-----------------|-----------------|--|
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Edward J. Cain | 1796 | |

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Edward J. Cain. (3) _____.
 (2) Mr. Achkar. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 12 June 2008

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Mr. Achkar confirmed this case to be abandoned.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Edward J. Cain/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)